Case 2:21-cr-00100-JAM Document 24 Filed 02/11/22 Page 1 of 3

1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney ROSS PEARSON Assistant United States Attorney			
2				
3	501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814			
4	Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900			
5	, , ,			
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America			
7				
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:21-CR-00100-JAM		
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;		
13	V.	ORDER		
14	DATE: February 15, 2022 TIME: 9:30 a.m.			
15	Defendant.	COURT: Hon. John A. Mendez		
16				
17	STIPULATION			
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and			
19	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:			
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on February 15, 2022.			
21	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until May 24			
22	2022, at 9:30 a.m., and to exclude time between February 15, 2022, and May 24, 2022, under Local			
23	Code T4.			
24	3. The parties agree and stipulate, an	nd request that the Court find the following:		
25	a) The government has repre	sented that the discovery associated with this case		
26	includes over one hundred pages of reports, photos, and search warrant documents. All of this			
27	discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and			
28	conving.			

Case 2:21-cr-00100-JAM Document 24 Filed 02/11/22 Page 2 of 3

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7

- b) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to review the discovery with her client, research and investigate possible defenses, discuss any potential resolution with her client, research mitigating evidence and present it to the prosecution, and otherwise prepare for trial.
- c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of February 15, 2022 to May 24, 2022, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: February 10, 2022

PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney

/s/ ROSS PEARSON
ROSS PEARSON
Assistant United States Attorney

28

Case 2:21-cr-00100-JAM Document 24 Filed 02/11/22 Page 3 of 3

1 2	LINDA A Counsel	A ALLISON ALLISON for Defendant
3	SIEVEN	DANIEL WOODS zed by email on
4	February	10, 2022)
5		
6	6 HANDANGS AND ODDA	N.D.
7	FINDINGS AND ORDER	
8	IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this 10 th day of February, 2022.	
9	9 /s/ John A	A. Mendez
10	O II	NORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
11		STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
12	2	
13	3	
14	4	
15	5	
16	6	
17	7	
18	8	
19	9	
20		
21	1	
22	2	
23	3	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		